

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/787,023	KORSUNSKY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Larisa Z. Tsukerman	2833	

All Participants:

(1) Larisa Z. Tsukerman.

(2) Wei Te Chung.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 4 March 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1-13,17

Prior art documents discussed:

Watanabe et al. (6497580), Lauchner et al. (5190462), Cabourne (4904197).

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Larisa Tsukerman
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Attorney agreed with Examiner's proposed amendment to combine claim 1 and claim 5, claim 6 and claims 11 and 12, and also cancel claims 13 and 17 in order to define over the Prior Art and make the claims unobvious over the Prior Art, especially with amendment dated 02/03/05 which did not place the application into condition for allowance (amended limitations "housing and contacts" is inherent to every connector) .